Mental well-being of general population during COVID-19 pandemic

Priyanka¹, Sanjeev Kumar Rasania²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Lady Hardinge Medical College & Associated Hospitals, New Delhi; ²Director Professor & Head, Department of Community Medicine, Lady Hardinge Medical College & Associated Hospitals, New Delhi

Abstract Introduction Methodology Results Conclusion References Citation Tables / Figures

Corresponding Author

Dr Priyanka, Assistant Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Lady Hardinge Medical College & Associated Hospitals, New Delhi

E Mail ID: priyankavirdi@yahoo.com

Citation

Priyanka, Rasania SK. Mental well-being of general population during COVID-19 pandemic. Indian J Comm Health. 2021;33(3):523-527. https://doi.org/10.47203/IJCH.2021.v33i03.021

Source of Funding: Nil Conflict of Interest: None declared

Article Cycle

Received: 29/01/2021; Revision: 05/07/2021; Accepted: 23/08/2021; Published: 30/09/2021

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Abstract

Background: COVID-19 pandemic has drastically affected the mental health of people worldwide, with emergence of new mental health problems and worsening of the existing ones. Objectives: This research was conducted with the objective to study the level of mental wellbeing among adult general population during COVID-19 pandemic in India and to identify the association of socio demographic factors with the level of mental wellbeing of study subjects. Methods: It was a community based online cross sectional study involving general population more than 18 years of age. Data collection was done by using a goggle form link which was circulated via online platforms. Data was analyzed using Microsoft excel and SPSS version 21. Qualitative data was expressed in proportions or percentages and quantitative data was expressed in mean and standard deviation. Chi square test and logistic regression analysis was done to find out association of demographic factors with mental wellbeing. Results: 58.4% subjects had normal mental wellbeing score while 25.1% were found to be at risk of developing psychological distress and 16.5% were at risk of depression. Lower wellbeing scores were significantly associated with younger (<36 years) as well as older age (>55 years), female gender, divorced or widowed, unemployed, businessmen and students. Lowe scores were also associated with increased consumption of alcohol and tobacco. Conclusion: A large proportion of study population had a poor mental wellbeing during the on-going COVID-19 pandemic.

Keywords

Adult Population; COVID-19; Mental Well-being; Pandemic

Introduction

The ongoing pandemic of COVID-19 has drastically affected the health of people across the globe. Stringent public health measures have been implemented to curtail the spread of virus which has resulted in implementing lockdowns and restricting the movement of people.(1) The restrictions designed to prevent the spread of corona virus are having a profound impact on the way we live our daily lives. People have been advised to minimize face to face interactions, follow social distancing at all times, use face masks and sanitize hands at frequent intervals as the new normal. All these factors coupled with the fear and uncertainty associated with COVID-19 crisis has adversely affected the mental health of general public.(2) New mental health problems have developed and existing ones have gotten worse. It is a sort of mental health emergency

and prioritizing mental health has never been more critical than it is now.(3) But, still this aspect is often neglected specially in countries like India where mental health issues are stigmatized and not commonly talked of. Although there has been a focus on research about mental wellbeing during COVID-19 pandemic in some parts of the world, but very limited similar studied have been conducted in Indian population.

Aims & Objectives

- To estimate the level of mental wellbeing among adult general population during COVID-19 pandemic in India.
- To determine the association of socio demographic factors with the level of mental wellbeing of study subjects.

Material & Methods

This was a community based online Cross-sectional study by using internet based social media applications.

Study Population: General public of age group >18 years who had access to internet, using social media applications and who were literate and could understand English or Hindi.

Sampling technique: Study subjects were enrolled by direct recruitment. They were contacted through phone contacts other than those associated with the investigators, messaging apps and other social media platforms and groups. Google form link was sent consisting of Participant Information sheet and a mandatory consent check box. It mentioned the purpose of study and only those who gave their consent to be included in the study were able to go to the next section of questionnaire. The online survey continued for a period of one month from 1st to 31st July, 2020.

Study tool: An online pre designed, pre tested, selfadministered questionnaire was designed in Google forms. The study tool contained information about demographic profile of participants like age, sex, occupation, marital status etc. They were asked questions regarding the effect of the current pandemic and lockdown restrictions on mental health and wellbeing. This also included any change in their smoking and alcohol consumption, if they are using them. For assessing mental wellbeing, Warwick Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale (WEMWBS) was used, which is a validated tool for use in general population. It measures subjectively perceived wellness and psychological function on a likert scale. The 14-item scale has 5 response categories, summed to provide a single score. The items are all worded positively and cover both feeling and functioning aspects of mental wellbeing. The wellbeing score above 45 is considered normal, while those with scores between 41 and 45 is considered to be at high risk of psychological distress and those with scores less than 41 could be at high risk of depression.(4) the permission to use this scale in the current research has been obtained from the copyright

In order to address language barrier, questionnaire was circulated in both english and hindi so that participants can choose and respond in their preferred language. The hindi version has already been validated.

Data analysis: Data was entered in MS-Excel and analyzed using SPSS version 22. Qualitative data was expressed in proportions or percentages and quantitative data was expressed in mean and standard deviation. Chi square test was used to check the association of various socio demographic factors and different categories of WEMWBS scores. The data was further analyzed by using multiple logistic regression method.

Ethical Considerations: A subject information sheet explaining the purpose of study, risk benefits and consent form was prefixed to the questionnaire. Only the subjects willing to consent for study could access the questionnaire. The approval to conduct the research was obtained from the Institutional Ethics committee. The responses were collected anonymously without any identifying information of the respondents and the data was kept purely confidential.

Results

During the study period, a total of 1112 subjects gave consent and completed the study questionnaire. 861 (77.4%) responses were obtained in English and 251 (22.6%) were in Hindi questionnaire. The responses were obtained from 30 states and union territories of the country. The mean age of the participants was 35.57(±14.4) years. 389 (34.9%) study subjects belonged to a relatively younger age of 25years or less. 11% were aged more than 55years. 60% participants were females and 62% were currently married. Approximately one fifth of the respondents were employed in Government job, almost the same proportion in private job and the largest chunk (36.6%) were students (Table 1).

649 (58.4%) subjects had normal mental wellbeing score while 279 (25.1%) were found to be at risk of developing psychological distress and 184 (16.5%) were at risk of depression. The mean well-being score of participants was found to be 46.62 (±9.59SD).

Mental wellbeing scores were found to be higher in the age group of 36 to 55 years as compared to the other age groups. The proportion of subjects having normal wellbeing scores was lesser in those aged less than 36 years and least in those more than 55 years. This difference was found to be statistically highly significant (p=0.000). Almost one fourth respondents in the age groups of 26 to 35 years and more than 55 years were found to be at risk of developing depression. Females were found to have lower scores as compared to males (p=0.012). Marital status was also significantly associated with mental wellbeing with currently married subjects having highest proportion with normal wellbeing scores (62.8%) and divorced/separated/widowed having lowest proportion (27.3%). Almost one third subjects who were divorced/separated/widowed were found to be at risk of developing psychological distress as compared to approximately one fourth in case of currently married and unmarried, the former group also had the largest proportion at risk of developing depression (40%). As far as occupation is concerned, the proportion of subjects with normal wellbeing scores was significantly higher in Government employees (84%), self employed (77.3%) and home makers in case of females (86.1%). It was found to be lower in students (49.1%), retired (47.9%) and least in the unemployed (14.2%). Slightly less than half of businessmen (44.4%) and retired persons (43.8%) were found to be at risk of psychological distress while almost one fourth students were at risk of depression. (Table 1) We further analyzed the association of demographic factors with mental well-being by using logistic regression method. The level of mental wellbeing was taken as dependent variable and age, sex, marital status and occupation were taken as independent variables in the regression model. All four demographic variables were found to be significantly associated with the status of mental wellbeing (Table 2).

127 (11.4%) respondents admitted to be consuming tobacco in any form. Out of these, 64.6% reported an increase in consumption while 18.9% reported a decrease in tobacco use during the COVID 19 pandemic. We tried to find out the relationship of this change in tobacco use with WEBWMS scores. Out of the respondents with normal mental well-being scores, 47.7% had increased the consumption whereas 73.5% of the respondents at risk of psychological stress or depression had increased tobacco consumption during the pandemic and this association was found to be statistically significant (p<0.05).

227 (20.4%) subjects consumed alcohol and 38.3% of these reported increased and 23.3% reported deceased alcohol consumption during the pandemic. 28.8% of subjects with normal mental wellbeing and 48.6% of those at risk of psychological stress or depression admitted to have increased alcohol intake during pandemic (p<0.01) (Table 3)

Discussion

The mean well-being score of participants was found to be 46.62 (±9.59SD). 41.6% respondents had less than normal scores, which suggests a lower level of mental wellbeing in a large proportion of subjects. Several other researchers from different parts of the world have also reported a lower level of mental wellbeing among general population during COVID-19 pandemic.(5-9) Some other studies suggest that the levels of stress, anxiety and depression are quite high among general public because of the effects of pandemic.(10-25) This shows that the current pandemic has negatively affected the mental health and wellbeing of people.

We tried to analyse the association of various demographic factors with metal wellbeing scores. The proportion of WEMWBS score of more than 46 was lowest in the age group of people more than 55 years followed by those less than 25 and 25 to 35 years. This shows that mental wellbeing was poorer among the old (>55years) as well as young (<36years) and it was comparatively better in the middle aged population (36 to 55years). The reason may be that the young people are more concerned about economic challenges, jobs and careers and future consequences of the pandemic. Md Shaheed Mahmud et al, have found that due to the current pandemic, the future workforce are getting more anxiety regarding their future career.(26) Older population may be more afraid of

the adverse consequences of COVID-19 as they commonly have co-morbid conditions like diabetes and hypertension and are vulnerable to morbidity and mortality because of the disease.(27) As a result, their social life is also disrupted and it becomes a major source of distress for them.(8) Our results are in accordance with other studies which have reported lower mental wellbeing and higher level of anxiety and stress in younger population because of the pandemic.(10,16,19,21,23,27,28,29) Mohammad Ali et al, in their study from Bangladesh, found that people more than 50 years of age are more psychologically disturbed by the pandemic.(6) Other authors have also pointed out that the risk of mental health problems because of COVID-19 pandemic is more in elderly.(2,20)

In our study, a significantly higher proportion of females had a lower mental wellbeing score as compared to males. This shows that the psychological impact of the pandemic is more in case of females. Because of the current situation, many people are working from home, children are studying from home only, domestic helps are not available and all this has disproportionately increased the burden on women who are considered primarily responsible for domestic chores and care of children. This impact is severe for working women. Similar findings have been shown by others.(5,7,10-16,21,24,27,29,30) However, Yeen Huang from China and Ebru Morgul et al from Turkey have found no difference with gender of the psychological impact of COVID-19.(19,29)

Mental well scores were significantly higher in the currently married people and quite lower widowed/separated/divorced people. Thus, family especially the spouse acts as a major support system in times of crisis. Other researchers have also reported better mental wellbeing among those currently married.(16,18,23,27) Occupation of the study subjects also had a significant impact on their mental well being. A higher level of wellbeing scores were seen in Government employees and housewives in case of females as compared to those having a private job, business, are retired or students. Those working under Government sector have a better job security and less chances of loss of wages which can be contributory factor in better psychological health. Other studies also point out a better psychological wellbeing in Government employees than others.(6,16,19,23) Absar Ahmad et al and Hossein A et al, in their study on Indian population, have found that the fear of financial loss and related stress is higher among businessmen.(6,18) Several other authors have also mentioned poorer mental health in students as a result of pandemic.(5,15,23,31,32) Because of closure of educational institutes, there is a shift to online mode which may not be very comfortable for students and some may have internet access problems. Career of this year's university graduates is at stake and students are

concerned that the consequent stress will adversely affect their exam performance.(32) Very poor mental wellbeing was found among unemployed persons which is consistent with findings of Mohd Ali from Bangladesh.(6)

A significantly larger proportion of subjects with lower mental wellbeing scores had increased the consumption of both tobacco and alcohol as compared to people with higher scores. This is an indication that mental stress is related to increase in substance use. There is existing evidence that psychological stress is associated with increased abuse of psychoactive substances including alcohol and tobacco.(33-35) Hence, there is a need to look into this aspect and take corrective measures well in time.

Conclusion

We conclude that a considerable proportion of study population (41.6%) had a poor mental wellbeing during the on-going COVID-19 pandemic. Both young (<35years) as well as old >55 years of age, females, divorced/widowed, students businessmen and unemployed were found to have more risk of getting psychological distress or depression. Out of the people who consumed alcohol or tobacco in any form, a considerable proportion had increased their use and it was significantly related with lower level of mental wellbeing in these individuals.

Recommendation

There should be more focus on mental health and wellbeing of population by the policy makers as well the physicians. General public should also be familiarised about the negative mental health consequences and encouraged to report to health care system if they experience any such issues.

Limitation of the study

This study has a few limitations. The study was conducted during COVID-19 pandemic and to avoid the risk of infection and in order to receive maximum responses in limited time, online mode was used. Sampling method was non random and there are high chances of selection bias. But this was the only feasible mode of data collection at that time owing to the restrictions put forth because of the pandemic. Secondly, because of the cross sectional design, it is difficult to make causal inferences.

Relevance of the study

This study has pointed out that the level of mental well-being among adult Indian population is poor during COVID-19 pandemic and certain groups are more vulnerable for developing mental health issues. It is a high time to draw the attention of policymakers towards this issue so that immediate corrective measures can be taken.

Authors Contribution

P: Performed data acquisition and analysis and prepared manuscript. SKR: Reviewed and edited the manuscript.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to the copyright owners of Warwick Edinburgh Mental Wellbeing Scale who gave permission to use it in our study.

References

- Yadav SR, Kumar R, Kumar A, Ish P, Gupta N, Chakrabarti S. Sleepless in COVID-19: how not to lose sleep in lockdowns. Monaldi Arch Chest Dis. 2020 Jun 12;90(2). doi: 10.4081/monaldi.2020.1364.
- Fofana NK, Latif F, Sarfraz S, Bilal, Bashir MF, Komal B. Fear and agony of the pandemic leading to stress and mental illness: An emerging crisis in the novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak. Psychiatry Res. 2020;291:113230. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113230.
- Mind. The mental health emergency: how has the coronavirus pandemic impacted our mental health? London: Mind. Available from: https://www.mind.org.uk/media-a/5929/the-mental-healthemergency a4 final.pdf
- Warwick Medical School. About WEMWBS. Available from: https://warwick.ac.uk/fac/sci/med/research/platform/wemwbs/about/
- Vindegaard N, Benros ME. COVID-19 pandemic and mental health consequences: Systematic review of the current evidence. Brain, Behavior, and Immunity 2020;89:531-542. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2020.05.048
- Hossain A, Ali M, Rahaman Khan H, Ahsan G. Mental wellbeing in the Bangladeshi healthy population during nationwide lockdown over COVID-19: an online cross-sectional survey. medRxiv; 2020. doi: 10.1101/2020.05.14.20102210.
- Ahmed MZ, Ahmed O, Aibao Z, Hanbin S, Siyu L, Ahmad A. Epidemic of COVID-19 in China and associated psychological problems. Asian journal of psychiatry. 2020 Jun 1;51:102092.
- Grover S, Sahoo S, Mehra A, Avasthi A, Tripathi A, Subramanyan A et al. Psychological impact of COVID-19 lockdown: An online survey from India. Indian J Psychiatry. 2020;62(4):354-362. doi: 10.4103/psychiatry.lndianJPsychiatry_427_20.
- Chouchou F, Augustini M, Caderby T, Caron N, Turpin NA, Dalleau G. The importance of sleep and physical activity on well-being during COVID-19 lockdown: reunion island as a case study. Sleep Med. 2020;S1389-9457(20)30417-2. doi:10.1016/j.sleep.2020.09.014.
- Salari N, Hosseinian-Far A, Jalali R, et al. Prevalence of stress, anxiety, depression among the general population during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Global Health 2020;16(1):57. doi: 10.1186/s12992-020-00589-w.
- Moghanibashi-Mansourieh A. Assessing the anxiety level of Iranian general population during COVID-19 outbreak. Asian J Psychiatr. 2020:51:102076.
- Cheng C, Jun H, Liang B. Psychological health diathesis assessment system: a nationwide survey of resilient trait scale for Chinese adults. Stud Psychol Behav. 2014;12:735–42.
- Lim GY, Tam WW, Lu Y, Ho CS, Zhang MW, Ho RC. Prevalence of depression in the community from 30 countries between 1994 and 2014. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):1–10.
- 14. Shi L, Lu ZA, Que JU, et al. Prevalence of and Risk Factors Associated With Mental Health Symptoms Among the General Population in China During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic. JAMA Netw Open. 2020 Jul 1;3(7):e2014053. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.14053.
- Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, Tan Y, Xu L, Ho C. S, et al. Immediate psychological responses and associated factors during the initial stage of the 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) epidemic among the general population in China. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2020:17:1729.
- Rodríguez-Rey R., Garrido-Hernansaiz H., Collado S. Psychological impact and associated factors during the initial stage of the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic among the general population in Spain. Front. Psychol. 2020;11:1540.
- Xiong J, Lipsitz O, Nasri F, Lui LMW, Gill H, Phan L, Chen-Li D, Iacobucci M, Ho R, Majeed A, McIntyre RS. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on mental health in the general population: A systematic review. J Affect Disord. 2020;277:55-64. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.08.001.
- Ahmad A, Rahman I, Agarwal M. Early psychosocial predictors of mental health among Indians during coronavirus disease 2019 outbreak. Journal of Health Sciences, 2020;10(2):147-156.

[Mental well-being of...] | Priyanka et al

- Morgul E, Bener A, Atak M, Akyel S, Aktaş S, Bhugra D, Ventriglio A, Jordan TR. <? covid19?> COVID-19 pandemic and psychological fatigue in Turkey. International Journal of Social Psychiatry. 2020 Jul 10:0020764020941889. doi:10.1177/0020764020941889
- Rajkumar RP. COVID-19 and mental health: A review of the existing literature. Asian J Psychiatr. 2020;52:102066. doi:10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102066
- Liu X, Luo WT, Li Y, et al. Psychological status and behavior changes of the public during the COVID-19 epidemic in China. Infect Dis Poverty. 2020:9(1):58.
- Wang C., Pan R., Wan X. (2020b). A Longitudinal Study on the Mental Health of General Population during the COVID-19 Epidemic in China. Brain Behav Immun 2020;87:40-48.
- Ustun G. Determining depression and related factors in a society affected by COVID-19 pandemic. The International journal of social psychiatry. 2020;67(1):54. doi:10.1177/0020764020938807
- Fu W, Wang C, Zou L, Guo Y, Lu Z, Yan S, Mao J. Psychological health, sleep quality, and coping styles to stress facing the COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. Transl Psychiatry. 2020;10(1):225. doi: 10.1038/s41398-020-00913-3
- Pierce M, Hope H, Ford T, Hatch S, Hotopf M, John A, et al. Mental health before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: a longitudinal probability sample survey of the UK population. Lancet Psychiatry 2020;7(10):883-92.
- Mahmud MS, Talukder MU, Rahman SM. Does 'Fear of COVID-19'trigger future career anxiety? An empirical investigation considering depression from COVID-19 as a mediator. The International journal of social psychiatry. 2021;67(1):35. doi:10.1177/0020764020935488

- Fontes WHA, Gonçalves Júnior J, de Vasconcelos CAC, da Silva CGL, Gadelha MSV. Impacts of the SARS-CoV-2 Pandemic on the Mental Health of the Elderly. Front Psychiatry. 2020;11:841.
- Dong X., Wang Y., Chen Y. Poor sleep quality and influencing factors among rural adults in Deqing, China. Sleep Breath. 2018;22(4):1213– 1220
- Huang Y., Zhao N. Generalized anxiety disorder, depressive symptoms and sleep quality during COVID-19 outbreak in China: a web-based cross-sectional survey. Psychiatr Res. 2020;288:112954.
- Hwang H, Hur WM, Shin Y. Emotional exhaustion among the South Korean workforce before and after COVID-19. Psychol Psychother 2021;94(2):371-81.
- Rehman U, Shahnawaz MG, Khan NH, Kharshiing KD, Khursheed M, Gupta K, Kashyap D, Uniyal R. Depression, anxiety and stress among Indians in times of Covid-19 lockdown. Community Ment Health J. 2020:57(1):1-7.
- Sahu P. Closure of Universities Due to Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): Impact on Education and Mental Health of Students and Academic Staff. Cureus 2020;12(4): e7541. doi:10.7759/cureus.7541.
- Keyes KM, Hatzenbuehler ML, Grant BF, Hasin DS. Stress and alcohol: epidemiologic evidence. Alcohol Res. 2012;34(4):391-400.
- Stubbs B, Veronese N, Vancampfort D, Prina AM, Lin PY, Tseng PT, Evangelou E, Solmi M, Kohler C, Carvalho AF, Koyanagi A. Perceived stress and smoking across 41 countries: A global perspective across Europe, Africa, Asia and the Americas. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):7597.
- Boscarino JA, Adams RE, Galea S. Alcohol use in New York after the terrorist attacks: a study of the effects of psychological trauma on drinking behavior. Addict Behav. 2006;31(4):606-21.

Tables

TABLE 1 SOCIO DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS AND MENTAL WELL-BEING OF STUDY SUBJECTS

| | | Mental Well-Being | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | Number (percentage)* | Normal | At risk of psychological distress | At risk of depression | | |
| Age | <25 | 389 (<i>34.9</i>) | 225 (57.8) | 93 (23.9) | 71 (18.2) | Chi square-40.254; | |
| | 26-35 | 194 (17.5) | 114 (58.8) | 35 (18.0) | 45 (23.2) | df- 8; p value= 0.000 | |
| | 36-45 | 239 (21.5) | 155 (64.8) | 63 (26.4) | 21 (8.8) | | |
| | 46-55 | 165 (<i>14.8</i>) | 104 (63.0) | 43 (26.1) | 18 (10.9) | | |
| | >55 | 125 (11.2) | 51 (40.8) | 45 (36.0) | 29 (23.2) | | |
| Sex | Male | 441 (39.7) | 281 (63.7) | 94 (21.3) | 66 (15.0) | Chi square-8.846; df- | |
| | Female | 671 (<i>60.3</i>) | 368 (54.8) | 185 (27.6) | 118 (17.6) | 2; p value= 0.012 | |
| Marital | Unmarried | 401 (36.1) | 210 (52.5) | 95 (23.7) | 96 (23.9) | Chi square-41.179 | |
| Status | Currently married | 689 (<i>62.0</i>) | 433 (62.8) | 177 (25.7) | 79 (11.4) | df-4; p value= 0.000 | |
| | Divorced/Separated/Widowed | 22 (1.9) | 06 (27.3) | 07 (31.2) | 09 (40.9) | | |
| Occupation | Government Job | 219 (<i>19.7</i>) | 184 (84.0) | 19 (8.7) | 16 (7.3) | Chi square-116.952; | |
| | Private Job | 238 (21.4) | 134 (56.3) | 70 (29.4) | 34 (14.3) | df-14; p value=0.000 | |
| | Business | 99 (<i>8.9</i>) | 40 (40.4) | 44 (44.4) | 15 (15.2) | | |
| | Self employed | 44 (4.0) | 34 (77.3) | 08 (18.2) | 02 (4.5) | | |
| | Student | 407 (36.6) | 200 (49.1) | 99 (24.3) | 108 (26.5) | | |
| | Retired | 48 (4.3) | 23 (47.9) | 21 (43.8) | 04 (8.3) | | |
| | Home maker | 36 (3.2) | 31 (86.1) | 04 (11.1) | 01 (2.8) | | |
| | Un employed | 21 (1.9) | 03 (14.2) | 14 (66.7) | 04 (19.1) | | |
| | Total | 1112 (100) | (58.4) | (25.1) | (16.5) | | |
| *The percentages mentioned in italics are column percentages. | | | | | | | |

TABLE 2 LOGISTIC REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF SOCIO DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS AND LEVEL OF MENTAL WELL-BEING AMONG STUDY SUBJECTS.

| | В | SE | df | Significance |
|----------------|------|------|----|--------------|
| Constant | .349 | .222 | 1 | 0.117 |
| Age | 292 | .067 | 1 | 0.00 |
| Sex | .989 | .191 | 1 | 0.000 |
| Marital status | .467 | .117 | 1 | 0.010 |
| Occupation | 183 | .033 | 1 | 0.000 |

TABLE 3 DISTRIBUTION OF STUDY SUBJECTS

| | Normal mental | At risk of | Total | Remarks | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | well- | psychological distress or | | | | | | | |
| | being | depression | | | | | | | |
| Tobacco consumption (n=127) | | | | | | | | | |
| Increased | 21 (47.7) | 61 (73.5) | 82 (64.6%) | Chi square- | | | | | |
| Decreased | 11 (25.0) | 13 (15.7) | 24 (18.9%) | 8.977, df-2; | | | | | |
| No change | 12 (27.3) | 09 (10.8) | 21 (16.5%) | p-0.011 | | | | | |
| Alcohol consumption (n=227) | | | | | | | | | |
| Increased | 34 (28.8) | 53 (48.6) | 87 (38.3%) | Chi square- | | | | | |
| Decreased | 33 (28.0) | 20 (18.3) | 53 (23.3%) | 12.967; df- | | | | | |
| No change 51 (43.2) 36 | | 36 (33.1) | 87 (38.3%) | 2; p-0.008 | | | | | |