Depression and its Association with Housing conditions and Family among Pregnant Women of Rural Varanasi

Kshitij Raj¹, Shyam Sunder Keshari², Hari Shankar³, Priyanka Kesarwani⁴

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Maa Vindhyavasini Autonomous State Medical College, Mirzapur; ²Associate Professor, Department of Pharmacology, Maharishi Vashishtha Autonomous State Medical College Basti, Uttar Pradesh; ³Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi; ⁴Associate Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Maharishi Vashishtha Autonomous State Medical College Basti, Uttar Pradesh.

<u>Abstract</u> <u>Introduction</u> <u>Methodology</u> <u>Results</u> <u>Conclusion</u> <u>References</u> <u>Citation</u> <u>Tables / Figures</u>

Corresponding Author

Dr. Priyanka Kesarwani, Associate Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Maharishi Vashishtha Autonomous State Medical College Basti, Uttar Pradesh 272002.

E Mail ID: priyankakesarwani@gmail.com



Citation

Raj K, Keshari SS, Shankar H, Kesarwani P. Depression and its Association with Housing conditions and Family among Pregnant Women of Rural Varanasi. Indian J Comm Health. 2021;33(4):575-579. https://doi.org/10.47203/IJCH.2021.v33i04.006

Source of Funding: Nil Conflict of Interest: None declared

Article Cycle

Received: 27/09/2021; Revision: 09/11/2021; Accepted:28/11/2021; Published:31/12/2021

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Abstract

Background: Depressive disorders were the second leading cause of years lost due to disability in 2010 after low back pain and leading cause of disability adjusted life years (WHO 2010). Gestational depression may lead to low birth weight, premature births etc. Housing and family are important aspects of one daily life which if are unsatisfactory can increase stress level of its members. Aims & Objectives: The aim of this study is to see the relationship of housing and family with depression among pregnant women. Material & Methods: This is a community based cross sectional study of 220 pregnant women in 10 randomly selected villages of Chiraigaon, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh during one year period; using predesigned, pretested and semi structured interview schedule for assessing housing and family conditions. Results: Depression was found to be more in women living in kutcha house, nuclear family and illiterate husband, husband as head of family and belonging to lower socio-economic status. Increasing number of female child also increases depression. Conclusion: Housing structure, education of husband, socio-economic status and number of daughters should be assessed in every pregnant woman as these affect depressive state of pregnant women which can adversely affect the outcome of pregnancy.

Keywords

Depression; Pregnant; House; Family

Introduction

'You should be most happy at home and at work, and if you're not happy in those places, you know, it's going to lead to anxiety and depression.' (1). World Health Organization (WHO) regional office of Europe defined: "Depression is a common mental disorder, characterized by sadness, loss of interest or pleasure, feelings of guilt or low self-worth, disturbed sleep or appetite, feelings of tiredness and poor concentration".

Studies suggest that gestational depression is related to low birth weight, premature births etc. and children of depressed mothers are more likely to display behavioral problems and exhibits disruptions in motor cognitive and emotional development. According to Priscila Krauss Pereira et. al. (2) prevalence of gestational depression in most studies of developing countries were around 20%. Of the many risk factors for depression "Stress" in life is one of them. Housing and family are important aspects of one daily life which if are unsatisfactory can increase stress level of its members. Recently Indian government is very much emphasizing on constructing, assisting, and providing pukka houses to needy citizens of the country. Therefore, this study is conducted to see the relationship of housing and family with depression among pregnant women.

Aims & Objectives

To study the relationship of depression with type of house and family

Material & Methods

Study Type: Community based cross-sectional study

Study Population: 220 pregnant women

Study Area: 10 randomly selected villages of Chiraigaon

Block of Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh **Study Duration**: One year

Sample Size calculation: The sample size is of 220 is adequate if we apply the prevalence of depression as 20% in pregnant (2) and 0.1 as absolute precision in formula $Z_{\alpha/2}{}^2P(1-P)/d^2$ where P is prevalence and d is absolute precision.

Inclusion Criteria: The study population comprised of married pregnant women of second and third trimester who have live husband.

Exclusion Criteria: Women who were physically and mentally challenged, suffering from chronic illness and in their first trimester of pregnancy were excluded.

Strategy for collection: Study subjects were selected as proportional to population size from the 10 randomly selected villages. The study subjects were randomly selected from the list of registered pregnant woman taken from ASHA of the respective village. If the pregnant women were not available in spite of two visits then another pregnant lady from the nearby household was searched, selected and interviewed.

Working Definition: Predesigned, pretested and semi structured interview schedule for assessing housing and family conditions. Beck Depression Inventory II (BDI II) was used for assessing depression.(3) BDI II has score from 0 to 63 with 0-13 as minimal, 14-19 is mild, 20-28 is moderate, and 29-63 is severe. For analysis purpose in this study minimal range is considered as depression absent while mild, moderate and sever clubbed in and considered as depression present.

Ethical Approval: The study was duly approved by Institutional Ethical Committee.

Consent: Informed and written consent was taken from the study subjects in presence of a witness.

Data Analysis: Statistical analysis was done using Microsoft excel and chi square test was applied where necessary. The data was entered in M S Office Excel version 2010 and needed proportions and test of association were applied.

Results

In our study, depression was found to be present in 27.3% of pregnant women. Depression was found to be more in pregnant women living in kutcha house followed by pukka house/bunglow and least in women living in mixed type of house. Participants living in nuclear family were more depressed than living in Joint family. Family with 6-10 members and family having more than one adult female

have pregnant females with low level of depression than otherwise (Table 1). Literacy of husband shows inverse trend with depression in their pregnant wives (Table 2) as women with illiterate husband have highest depression and participants with husband educated up to graduate or above show least depression, though the same trend is not found with education of head of family in which maximum depression is found with head of family educated below primary (Table 2).

In our study, we observed that if husband was head of family, depression was found to be higher while if the head of family is female then the prevalence of depression was less among pregnant women (Table 3). Increasing trend of depression with decreasing SEC was seen in pregnant respondents with maximum prevalence of depression was seen in lower socio-economic status (Table 4).

In our study, we observed that presence of male child was slightly associated with more prevalence of depression. Increasing trend of depression with increase in number of female Child was found in and the trend is significant (p < 0.05) (Table 5).

Discussion

In our study, depression was more in those pregnant females living in the kutcha house. This can be due to the low income of the respondents living in kutcha house. The disadvantages of living in kutcha house like water leaking, odour etc. can increase stress level and thus depression. In a previous study, 21% respondent having housing issue had negatively impacted upon their mental health also when housing was perceived as the only cause of mental health conditions, anxiety and depression were the most common ones.(1) MacArthur Foundation in its Policy Research Brief states that "Housing quality and overcrowding are linked with poorer mental health regardless of whether a family lives in public housing or in subsidized private rentals".(4)

Pregnant women show less depression if living in joint family and if number of adult females is more than one but a study done by Arora P et. al in their systemic review found that pregnant women living in joint families (73%) had revealed more depression than that of from nuclear families (58%) though this difference was not statistically significant. The trend may defer because of the geographical and cultural difference as none of the studies included in the systemic review was from Uttar Pradesh or even Hindi speaking belt of India except Delhi.(5)

In a previous study, it was found that the members of the joint family are better adjusted than that of nuclear family.(6) This may be due to the fact that the extra care and attention a pregnant and new mother get in joint family by family members. Joint family has more chances of having more adult females than nuclear family. This lower depression with more number of other females in the family can be explained on the basis that women do

not share freely everything to a male even their husband, they need other women to talk to and share.

A study conducted by Sabita Pet al. on 220 pregnant women in Puducherry, India found that husband's education influences the depression of spouse such that higher rate of depression in pregnant women was seen with low literacy of husband.(7) This can be stated because more educated husband tend to be more supportive and caring which tend to decrease stress in the women.

Respondents with husband as head of family have more depression which may be because when husband is head of family, he has full control over his family and enjoys power and may try to control every act of his wife. This may increase stress in the respondent and may lead to increase depression.

Depression increases if number of family members' increases from less than 6 to more than 6 till 10 in our study, this was in accordance to the previous study conducted by Noh J-W et al.(8) In that study it was found that the level of stress increased proportionately to the number of family members for women.

Similar to this study, Shakeel et al.(9) in a multiethnic study in Oslo found, more prevalence (16%) of depression in subjects with low score for socio-economic position. Nasreen et al.(10) found more anxiety to be associated with poor socio-economic status. Aktas Songul et al.(11) conducted a study 2015 in Turkey on 266 pregnant women and it was found that the median level of depression to be low when the perceived economy of the subjects was good and high level of depression when the perceived economy was bad.

In India, usually male child preference is seen (12) but the preference is usually of family, and not of the mother. This may lead to internal conflict in women causing stress and depression. If the gender preference has caused the earlier abortion, this may cause tremendous stress in mother and may continue even after giving birth to a male child, leading to depression. In a previous study, it was found that pressure for male child was associated with domestic violence in pregnancy which can lead to depression.(13)

In review of two prospective studies, it was found that the living female child being as risk factors both for the occurrence of post-partum depression and for chronicity.(14) Some other previous studies also revealed that the most significant association for development of post-partum depression was presence of ante-partum depression.(2,14) Therefore if a women is pregnant and already have a female child then the fear of giving birth to another female child may lead to stress and depression.

Conclusion

More than quarter of the pregnant women were found to be depressed and were in need of psychiatric help though none of them were receiving any form of psychiatric help to tackle depression. Poor housing structure do affect the status of mood and thus depression therefore housing schemes of government should be encouraged and made available to needy families.

Pregnant women living in kutcha house, nuclear family, belonging to lower socio-economic status and husband as head of family are found more susceptible for depression should be screen for same. Pregnant women were found more stressed and depressed if she has more than two daughters.

With increasing education level of the husband, the depression in the pregnant females decreases thus advocating for increasing education levels of the community.

All subjects screened depressive were referred to the Department of Psychiatry, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University for further management.

Recommendation

Every pregnant female having poor housing condition should be accessed for depression. 2. Every depressed pregnant female should be encouraged to use and utilize various government schemes to improve her housing conditions.

Limitation of the study

It was small study conducted in Chiraigaon Block only and was not having any financial grant/ support from any funding agencies

Relevance of the study

In rural population of Eastern Uttar Pradesh, poor housing and family conditions can lead to depression among pregnant women.

Authors Contribution

KR: Concept and design of study & acquisition of data and interpretation of data; and final approval of the version to be published. SSK: Drafting the article and revising it critically for important intellectual content & final approval of the version to be published. HS: Concept and design of study, interpretation of data; and final approval of the version to be published. PK: Analysis of data, revising it critically for important intellectual content & final approval of the version to be published

Acknowledgement

Authors are thankful to all ASHAs who have helped in this study

References

- Shelter. The impact of housing problems on mental health. July2017. [Internet].2017. [Last accessed on 07/08/2021]. Available from:
 - https://assets.ctfassets.net/6sxvmndnpn0s/59MBno13nAzVDGZe SjiJkX/3c2b8e75becb0e3f10057f696c95c284/Housing_and_menta I health - detailed report.pdf
- Priscila Krauss Pereira, Giovanni Marcos Lovisi, LúciaAbelha Lima, Letícia Fortes Legay, Jacqueline Fernandes de Cintra Santos, Simone Agadir Santos, Daianna Lima Thiengo and Elie Valencia (2011). Depression During Pregnancy: Review of Epidemiological

- and Clinical Aspects in Developed and Developing Countries, Psychiatric Disorders Trends and Developments, Dr. Toru Uehara (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-307-745-1
- A.T. Beck, R.A. Steer, G.K. Brown. Beck Depression Inventory. (2nd), Psychological Corporation, San Antonio, TX (1996)
- Chambers E, Fuster D, Suglia S, Rosenbaum E. MacArthur Foundation. The Link between Housing, Neighborhood, and Mental Health. www.macfound.org.Chicago.[Last accessed on 07/08/2021] Available from: https://www.macfound.org/media/files/hhm_brief_housing_neig hborhood mental health cnb5grx.pdf
- Arora P, Aeri BT. Burden of antenatal depression and its risk factors in Indian settings: A systematic review. Indian J Med Spec 2019; 10:55-60.
- Sahar N, Muzaffar N. Role of Family System, Positive Emotions and Resilience in Social Adjustment among Pakistani Adolescents. J of Educational, Health and Community Psychology. 2017; 6(2): 46-58
- Sabita P, Prakash M, Sharmila E. A cross sectional study of depression during pregnancy and its risk factors among pregnant women attending a tertiary care hospital in Puducherry, India. Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol 2019; 8:1363-8.
- Noh JW, Kim KB, Park J, Hong J, Kwon YD. Relationship between the number of family members and stress by gender: Cross-sectional analysis of the fifth Korea National Health and Nutrition

- Examination Survey. PLoS ONE. 2017; 12(9): e0184235. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0184235
- Shakeel, N., Eberhard-Gran, M., Sletner, L. et al. A prospective cohort study of depression in pregnancy, prevalence and risk factors in a multi-ethnic population. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2015;15, 5. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-014-0420-0
- Nasreen, H. E., Kabir, Z. N., Forsell, Y., & Edhborg, M. Prevalence and associated factors of depressive and anxiety symptoms during pregnancy: a population based study in rural Bangladesh. BMC women's health, 2011;11,22. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6874-11-22
- AktasSongul, and KiymetYesilcicekCalik. Factors Affecting Depression During Pregnancy and the Correlation Between Social Support and Pregnancy Depression, ran Red Crescent Med J. 2015; 17(9):e16640.
- Chandran M, P. Tharyan, J. Muliyil and S. Abraham, Post-partum depression in a cohort of women froma rural area of Tamil Nadu, India Incidence and risk factors. The British Journal of Psychiatry. 2002;181(6):499-504.
- Bontha V, Babua,b and Shantanu K Kara, Abuse against women in pregnancy: a population-based study from Eastern India. WHO South-East Asia Journal of Public Health 2012;1(2):133-43.
- Prabha S Chandra, Post-partum psychiatric care in India: the need for integration and innovation, World Psychiatry. 2004;3(2):99–100.

Tables

TABLE 1 DEPRESSION AND ITS RELATIONSHIP WITH HOUSE AND FAMILY AMONG PREGNANT WOMEN

	Depression in pregnant women							
	Absent	Absent Present		p-value				
House type								
No / Kutcha House	11 (55.0%)	9 (45.0%)	20(100%)	(χ ² =				
Mixed	70 (76.9%)	21 (23.1%)	91 (100%)	3.980)				
Pukka / Bunglow	79 (72.5%)	30 (27.5%)	109 (100%)	0.137				
Type of Family								
Joint family	110 (75.3%)	36 (24.7%)	146 (100%)	(χ ² = 1.497)				
Nuclear	50 (67.6%)	24 (32.4%)	74 (100%)	0.221				
Number of Family member	ers							
<u><5</u>	70 (72.2%)	27 (27.8%)	97 (100%)	$(\chi^2 =$				
6-10	63 (70.0%)	27 (30.0%)	90 (100%)	1.728)				
>10	27 (81.8%)	6 (18.2%)	33 (100%)	0.421				
Number of other females	above 18 years of age in th	e family						
0	39(69.6%)	17 (30.4%)	56 (100%)	(χ ² =				
1	58(68.2%)	27 (31.8%)	85 (100%)	3.096)				
>1	63(79.7%)	16(20.3%)	79 (100%)	213				
Total	160	60	220					
	72.7%	27.3%	100%					

TABLE 2 DEPRESSION AND ITS RELATIONSHIP WITH EDUCATION OF HUSBAND AMONG PREGNANT WOMEN

	Depression in pregnant women							
	Absent	Present	Total	p-value	Absent	Present	Total	p-value
	Education of	of Husband				Education of H	ead of Family	
Illiterate	14	9	23		52	24	76	(χ2 = 6.056) 0.195
	60.9%	39.1%	100%		68.4%	31.6%	100%	
Below Primary	7	4	11	(x ² = 7.423)	13	8	21	
	63.6%	36.4%	100%		61.9%	38.1%	100%	
Primary / Middle	45	21	66		55	18	73	
	68.2%	31.8%	100%		75.3%	24.7%	100%	
High / Inter	67	23	90		30	10	40	
	74.4%	25.6%	100%		75.0%	25.0%	100%	
Graduate and above	27	3	30		10	0	10	
	90.0%	10.0%	100%		100%	0.0%	100%	
Total	160	60	220		160	60	220	

TABLE 3 DEPRESSION IN PREGNANT WOMEN AND HEAD OF FAMILY

	Head of Family was Husband	Depression in pregnant women						
		Absent	Present	Total	p-value			
	No	119 (75.3%)	39 (24.7%)	158(100%)	(χ2 =1.895)			
	Yes	41 (66.1%)	21(33.9%)	62 (100%)	0.169			
If	If Head of Family was Female							
N	lo	135(72.2%)	52 (27.8%)	187 (100%)	(χ2 =0.180)			
Υ	es	25 (75.8%)	8 (24.2%)	33 (100%)	0.672			
T	otal	160 (72.7%)	60 (27.3%)	220 (100%)				

TABLE 4 RELATION OF DEPRESSION IN PREGNANT WOMEN ACCORDING TO THEIR SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS

Pareek	Depression in pregnant				
Socio-economic Status	Absent	Present	Total	p-value	
Upper to middle	40(83.3%)	8 (16.7%)	48(100.0%)	χ2 =3.846)	
Lower-middle	97 (70.8%)	40 (29.2%)	137 (100.0%)		
Lower	23 (65.7%)	12 (34.3%)	35 (100.0%)	0.146	
Total	160 (72.7%)	60 (27.3%)	220 (100%)		

TABLE 5 DEPRESSION IN PREGNANT WOMEN ACCORDING TO MALE AND NUMBER OF FEMALE CHILD

Presence of Male Child	Depression in pregnant						
	Absent	Present	Total	p-value			
No	98 (74.2%)	34 (25.8%)	132 (100%)	(χ2 =0.382)			
Yes	62 (70.5%)	26 (29.5%)	88 (100%)	0.537			
Number of Female Child							
0	108 (77.7%)	31 (22.3%)	139 (100%)	χ2 =			
1-2	49 (67.1%)	24 (32.9%)	73 (100%)	7.829)			
3-6	3 (37.5%)	5 (62.5%)	8 (100%)	0.019			
Total	160 (72.7%)	60 (27.3%)	220 (100%)				